| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/830,042 | HOLT, JOHN M. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | JAMES RUTTEN | 2192 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: Non-Final | | |
| (1) <u>JAMES RUTTEN</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2009</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Proposed claim amendment (attached). | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: 7, 31, 37, 38, 39, and 45 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Factor, Bal | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /J. Derek Rutten/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192 (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 5/14/09, Mr. Rutten indicated that an examiner's amendment would provide allowable subject matter. Mr. Ananian agreed to discuss the issue the Applicant. On 6/2 - 6/4, further discussions regarding the proposed amendment showed that Applicants were not interested in adopting the examiner's suggestions.